

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/763,309	Applicant(s) KAESEMEYER, WAYNE H.
	Examiner Lawrence E. Crane	Art Unit 1623

All Participants:

Status of Application: withdrawn from issue

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(3) _____

(2) Raymond A. Miller.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

all remaining of record

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

L. E. Crane
 Patent Examiner
 Technology Center 1600

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner relayed orally proposed changes to the bib sheet listed chain of priority. Applicant responded that the '580 application could not be between the '399 case and the '392 case in view of its issue date (no co-pendency). Examiner agreed and accepted applicant's amendment to the disclosure as correct as filed.